

This document contains submitted ASTCT comments and CMS responses from the <u>CMS MPFS</u> Rule, dated November 1, 2024.

Ms. Chiquita Brooks-LaSure Administrator Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services 7500 Security Boulevard Baltimore, MD 21244 September 6, 2024

Submitted electronically at <u>www.regulations.gov</u>

RE: Medicare and Medicaid Programs; CY 2025 Payment Policies Under **the Physician Fee Schedule** and Other Changes to Part B Payment and Coverage Policies; Medicare Shared Savings Program Requirements; Medicare Prescription Drug Inflation Rebate Program; and Medicare Overpayments (CMS-1807-P)

Dear Administrator Brooks-LaSure:

The American Society for Transplantation and Cellular Therapy (ASTCT) is pleased to offer comments on the Calendar Year (CY) 2025 Medicare Physician Fee Schedule (MPFS) Proposed Rule.

ASTCT is a professional membership association of more than 3,900 physicians, scientists, and other health care professionals promoting blood and marrow transplantation and cellular therapy through research, education, scholarly publication, and clinical standards. The clinical teams in our Society continue to develop and implement clinical care standards that advance the science of cellular therapy, including participation in trials that lead to current Food and Drugs Administration (FDA) approvals for chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy.

For more than 25 years, ASTCT members have focused on innovation in the treatment of hematologic malignancies, hematologic disorders, and other immune system diseases. ASTCT members are involved in the infusion of CAR-T therapies and other therapies to treat blood cancers and solid tumors, due to the specialized expertise required to safely administer these products in the clinical setting. Additionally, ASTCT members are at the forefront of using genetically edited hematopoietic stem cells for the treatment of blood disorders, including beta thalassemia and sickle cell disease, along with immune deficiency and metabolic disorders.

The advent of novel cellular immunotherapies and gene therapies has highlighted challenges within the Medicare coverage, coding, and payment systems. ASTCT remains concerned about the potential barriers to care that these challenges cause. We are committed to working with CMS to find solutions that ensure patient access to these therapies without creating financial harm to the clinicians who provide them.



ASTCT welcomes the opportunity to discuss these recommendations in more detail or to answer any questions that CMS may have. Please contact Alycia Maloney, ASTCT's Director of Government Relations, at <u>amaloney@astct.org</u> for any follow-up issues.

COL.

Corey Cutler, MD, MPH President, ASTCT Director, Stem Cell Transplantation Program Dana-Farber Cancer Institute Professor of Medicine Harvard Medical School



Table of Contents

Valuation of Category I CPT codes for CAR-T Services4
Modification of CAR-T Product Q codes6
Conversion Factor Update7
Telehealth and Other Communications Technology Services7
Defining Complex Non-Chemo Drugs through Subregulatory Guidance9
Caregiver Training Services
Hospital Inpatient or Observation E/M Add-On for Infectious Diseases
Compounded Immunosuppressive Drugs13
G2211 Complexity Add-On Code14



Valuation of Category I CPT codes for CAR-T Services

CAR-T therapy is a type of immune effector cell therapy utilized by ASTCT members to treat certain hematologic malignancies. In succession to the precursor Category III CPT[®] codes implemented in 2019, four new Category I CPT[®] codes for CAR-T clinical services will become effective as of January 1, 2025:

- **3X018**: Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy; harvesting of blood-derived T lymphocytes for development of genetically modified autologous CAR-T cells, per day.
- **3X019**: Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy; preparation of blood-derived T lymphocytes for transportation (e.g., cryopreservation, storage).
- 3X020: Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy; receipt and preparation of CAR-T cells for administration.
- 3X021 Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy; CAR-T cell administration, autologous.

CMS discusses the new codes and its proposed valuation of the services in the following section of the Proposed Rule:

In September 2023, the CPT[®] Editorial Panel deleted four category III codes (0537T– 0540T) and approved the addition of four new codes (3X018– 3X021) that describe only steps of the complex CAR–T Therapy process performed and supervised by physicians. The RUC recommended four different work RVUs for codes 3X018, 3X019, 3X020, and 3X021 and only recommended direct PE values for code 3X021. For CPT[®] code 3X018 (Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR–T) therapy; harvesting of blood-derived T lymphocytes for development of genetically modified autologous CAR–T cells, per day) the RUC recommended a work RVU of 1.94. For CPT[®] code 3X019 (Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR– T) therapy; preparation of blood-derived T lymphocytes for transportation (eg, cryopreservation, storage)) the RUC recommended a work RVU of 0.79. For CPT[®] code 3X021 (Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR–T) therapy; CAR– T cell administration, autologous) the RUC recommended a work RVU of 3.00. For CPT[®] code 3X020 (Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR–T) therapy; receipt and preparation of CAR–T cells for administration) the RUC recommended a work RVU of 0.80 and for CPT[®] code 3X020, we are proposing the RUC recommended work RVU of 0.80.

We are proposing the RUC-recommended work RVUs for CPT[®] codes 3X018, 3X019, and 3X021 respectively. As mentioned previously, the RUC recommended direct PE values for only one code, CPT[®] code 3X021, and the RUC recommended that the non-facility PE RVU for CPT[®] codes 3X018–3X020 should be contractor-priced. However, contractor pricing can only be applied at the whole code level, not to a single component of the valuation.



Therefore, for CPT[®] codes 3X018–3X020 we are treating these codes as having no recommended direct PE values and are seeking comment on direct PE values for these codes. We are proposing the RUC recommended direct PE inputs for CPT[®] code 3X021.

ASTCT appreciates the discussion of these new codes and is grateful to have the opportunity to provide input on it. CAR-T therapy involves multiple steps over an extended timeline (e.g., weeks to months in duration) and substantial resources to support specialized, multidisciplinary care delivery. This endeavor requires a significant investment in training, staff, and clinical resources. In addition to CAR-T being provided to hospital inpatients and hospital outpatients, CMS must acknowledge that CAR-T is used in non-facility settings (i.e., physician offices) via the billing instructions issued in 2022 as part of *Transmittal 11774*.¹

ASTCT agrees with CMS' proposal to recognize the resources required to provide this therapy by finalizing the RUC recommended work values for all four CPT[®] codes and the direct practice expense (PE) inputs for CPT[®] code 3X021.

In addition, ASTCT recommends that CMS review stakeholder comments and data for the direct PE values for CPT[®] codes 3X018-3X020 and assign appropriate non facility practice expense RVUs. This is an important interim measure that will ensure beneficiary access to care in all valid places of service until these services are re-reviewed through the typical AMA process for new technology services.

In summary, ASTCT requests that CMS:

- Finalize the work RVU recommendations from the RUC for CPT[®] codes 3X018-3X021;
- Finalize the PE RVU for CPT[°] code 3X021; and
- Finalize PE inputs submitted by clinically relevant stakeholders for CPT^{*} codes 3X018-3X020.

CMS Response: (p.202)

Comment: The majority of commenters supported our proposal to pay separately for these services under the PFS. However, some commenters also highlighted that the existing CAR-T codes, CPT codes 0537T-0539T, are currently not payable under the OPPS and recommended that CMS should assign active payment for CAR-T services under the OPPS as well. Additionally, a few commenters mentioned that currently these services are not payable under the PFS, and a commenter highlighted the "N/A" that is currently listed for non-facility PE RVUs for the current CAR-T codes (CPT codes 0537T-0539T) under the PFS.

¹ CMS Pub 100-04 Medicare Claims Processing. <u>Transmittal 11774</u>, Change Request 12928 (2002)



Response: We thank the commenters for their support for our proposal and recommendation for the OPPS. As the commenters pointed out, the predecessor codes for CART services (CPT codes 0537T-0539T) are not separately payable under the OPPS, and we note that these same codes similarly have a bundled status under the PFS (meaning they are subsumed within other codes and separate payment is not made for the services they describe) In the CY 2019 OPPS final rule, we stated that "the procedures described by CPT codes 0537T, 0538T, and 0539T describe various steps required to collect and prepare the genetically modified T-cells, and Medicare does not generally pay separately for each step used to manufacture a drug or biological" (83 FR 58905). In consideration of our current policies under both the PFS and the OPPS to not pay separately for the predecessor codes (CPT codes 0537T-0539T), we are not finalizing our proposal and will instead continue to bundle payment under the PFS for CAR-T services described under CPT codes 38225, 38226, and 38227. We believe that bundled status is appropriate for these codes in order to remain in alignment with OPPS to not pay separately for each step used to manufacture a drug or biological. We will display the RUCrecommended work RVUs for these three services, as we do for a number of other bundled services on the PFS, however they will remain non-payable. CPT code 38228 is the replacement code for Category III CPT code 0540T, which does not have bundled status, and therefore, we are finalizing active pricing for CPT code 38228 at the proposed work RVU of 3.00 and with the proposed direct PE inputs.

HCPCS	Descriptor	CY 2024 Work RVU	Proposed CY 2025 Work RVU	Final CY 2025 Work RVU	CMS Work Time Refinement
38225	Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy; harvesting of blood-derived T lymphocytes for development of genetically modified autologous CAR-T cells, per day	NEW	1.94	в	No
38226	Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy; preparation of blood-derived T lymphocytes for transportation (eg, cryopreservation, storage)	NEW	0.79	в	No
38227	Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy; receipt and preparation of CAR-T cells for administration	NEW	0.80	в	No
38228	Chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T) therapy; CAR-T cell administration, autologous	NEW	3.00	3.00	No

TABLE 17: CY 2025 Work RVUs for New, Revised, and Potentially Misvalued Codes

Modification of CAR-T Product Q codes

Related to the prior topic, **ASTCT requests that CMS engage with the HCPCS Working Group to approve ASTCT's requested changes to the current CAR-T HCPCS product codes—namely, the elimination of clinical services ("leukapheresis and dose preparation procedures") from all product descriptions.** Given the implementation of the new AMA Category I CPT[®] codes for CAR-T that will become effective on January 1, 2025, the inclusion of these distinct clinical services in the product HCPCS descriptions is duplicative and confusing to providers.



CMS Response: CMS did not respond to this request in MPFS, but did comment in the final OPPS rule. Please see p. 379 in <u>https://public-inspection.federalregister.gov/2024-25521.pdf</u>

Conversion Factor Update

CMS proposes a 2.8% decrease for CY 2025. ASTCT opposes this decrease in payment, which would add to already significant financial strains for physicians who are attempting to deal with the effects of increased inflation. ASTCT understands that CMS is bound by the statute relating to the MPFS conversion factors, which has unintentionally led to ongoing annual reductions. If CMS wishes to preserve provider access for Medicare beneficiaries, however, the agency needs to collaborate with Congress to modify legislation and develop a sustainable solution.

ASTCT asks CMS to engage the leadership of the U.S. Senate Finance Committee and the House of Representatives' Ways and Means Committee to identify and implement a solution to support beneficiary access.

CMS Response: (p.10): We estimate the CY 2025 PFS conversion factor to be 32.3465 which reflects a 0.02 percent positive budget neutrality adjustment required under section 1848(c)(2)(B)(ii)(II) of the Act, the 0.00 percent update adjustment factor specified under section 1848(d)(19) of the Act, and the removal of the temporary 2.93 percent payment increase for services furnished from March 9, 2024, through December 31, 2024, as provided in the CAA, 2024.

CY 2024 Conversion Factor		33.2875
Conversion Factor without the CAA, 2024 (2.93 Percent		32.3400
Increase for CY 2024)		
CY 2025 Statutory Update Factor	0.00 percent (1.0000)	
CY 2025 RVU Budget Neutrality Adjustment	0.02 percent (1.0002)	
CY 2025 Conversion Factor		32.3465

TABLE 108: Calculation of the CY 2025 PFS Conversion Factor

Telehealth and Other Communications Technology Services

ASTCT greatly appreciates the extent to which CMS was able to use its Public Health Emergency authority to extend and augment the availability of telehealth and similar services for Medicare beneficiaries over the past several years. We understand that CMS' authority will sunset at the end of 2024 unless Congress acts to extend the legislation.

We appreciate that CMS proposed telehealth extensions for several services for CY 2025. ASTCT encourages CMS to finalize these proposals, particularly the ability to use audio-only options when necessary and to allow clinicians to use their enrolled practice locations instead of their home address.



CMS Response: After consideration of public comments, we are finalizing as proposed to revise ourm regulations at § 410.78(a)(3) to permanently change the regulatory definition of an interactive telecommunications system to include two-way, real-time audio-only communication technology for any telehealth services furnished to beneficiaries in their homes if the distant site physician or practitioner is technically capable of using an interactive telecommunications system that includes, at a minimum, audio and video equipment permitting two-way, real-time interactive communication between the patient and distant site physician or practitioner, but the patient is not capable of, or does not consent to, the use of video technology. We clarify that no additional documentation, except for the appropriate modifier as mentioned above, are needed. (p. 144)

After consideration of public comments, we are finalizing as proposed that, through CY 2025, we continue to permit the distant site practitioner to use their currently enrolled practice location instead of their home address when providing Medicare telehealth services from their home. (p. 146)

However, we also wish to provide feedback on CMS' comments regarding the expiration:

We recognize that there are significant concerns about maintaining access to care through the use of Medicare telehealth services with the expiration of the statutory flexibilities that were successively extended by legislation following the PHE for COVID– 19. We understand that millions of Medicare beneficiaries have utilized interactive communications technology for visits with practitioners for a broad range of health care needs for almost 5 years. We are seeking comment from interested parties on our understanding of the applicability of section 1834(m) of the Act to the new telemedicine *E/M* codes, and how we might potentially mitigate negative impact from the expiring telehealth flexibilities, preserve some access, and assess the magnitude of potential reductions in access and utilization.

...However, we are unsure of the continuing validity of that premise under the current circumstances where patients have grown accustomed over several years to broad access to services via telehealth. We are seeking comment on what impact, if any, the expiration of the current flexibilities would be expected to have on overall service utilization for CY 2025. [p. 61654]

ASTCT wishes to emphasize that not only have beneficiaries "grown accustomed" to this access, but also that these access options have a direct positive impact on the health and well-being of beneficiaries and their caregivers. The clinical services that ASTCT members provide (e.g., stem cell transplantation, stem-cell-based gene therapies, and cellular therapies like CAR-T) are highly specialized services provided at limited locations. Patients usually travel long distances to receive these therapies, and frequently need to temporarily relocate during the extensive course of treatment. The availability of telehealth and other communication services has



greatly increased access to specialist teams for purposes of post-treatment concerns and monitoring once beneficiaries are back at their homes. Our members have also noted that the availability of virtual options decreases infection risk to patients (who are significantly immunocompromised after treatment) and supports caregivers in returning to work and/or other commitments.

The expiration of most telehealth services will have detrimental effects on the patient population we serve. It may mean that some patients face challenges in obtaining the care they need from providers with the specialized expertise necessary to treat them appropriately. It will likely increase financial burden and toxicity, as patients and caregivers need to resume frequent travel far from their homes, typically on limited incomes.

ASTCT asks CMS to consider ways to use its authority to extend the flexibilities the agency has implemented to allow patients who have received a stem cell transplant, cellular therapy, or gene therapy to continue using telehealth services. This is necessary to ensure that they can access the appropriate expertise in a manner that does not compromise their health.

CMS Response: (p.945): We appreciate these comments; however, they are outside the scope of this rule. CMS does not have the authority to change the statute as this is done through an act of Congress.

Defining Complex Non-Chemo Drugs through Subregulatory Guidance

Many providers have questions about the variations in how Medicare Administrative Contractors (MACs) pay for drug administration services billed for complex non-chemotherapy drugs. As a result, CMS proposes to release additional sub-regulatory guidance that is intended to be consistent with the AMA CPT[®] guidelines:

"96401-96549 are differentiated from the non-chemo/non-complex hydration and therapy codes because codes 96401-96549 require more staff monitoring for reactions, adverse events, and extra staff training compared to what is involved with hydration or non-chemo injection and infusion services."

ASTCT believes that CMS' subregulatory guidance should (a) result in consistent determinations by all MACs, and (b) adhere to the AMA CPT[®] criteria, which would confirm correct coding and payment of drug administration services for complex non-chemotherapy drugs.

Our membership is also concerned that CMS' guidance follows AMA CPT[®] coding of stem cell and CAR-T administration—which are distinctly different from complex non-chemotherapy



drugs and have unique Category I CPT[®] codes. AMA CPT[®] has determined that the administration of cell therapies should not be billed with the complex drug administration codes. It has established specific CPT[®] codes (i.e., 38240, 38241, 3X021) that recognize the clinician work required for safe and effective administration of these therapies, which contrasts with the complex drug administration CPT[®] codes that are not allowed for clinician billing in facility settings under MPFS.

ASTCT requests that CMS update the current Internet Only Manual (IOM) to include the AMA CPT[®] criteria for MACs to reference, to ensure that providers experience consistent coverage and payment for these services. ASTCT also requests that CMS clarify that stem cell transplant and CAR-T services should not be billed with the complex drug administration codes in the IOM, since specific Category I CPT[®] codes exist for these services.

CMS Response: (p.300-301)

Comment: Commenters were generally very supportive of CMS' proposal to update the IOM with additional detail and considerations of complexity for the administration of complex non-chemotherapeutic drugs. Commenters also stated they were pleased that MACs have retired the LCAs related to this service and that CMS has issued previous instructions to the MACs regarding down coding. A few commenters suggested additional clarifications and revisions beyond the proposed language in the IOM, such as a clarification that stem cell transplant and CAR-T services should not be billed using the chemotherapy administration code series.

Response: We appreciate commenters support for our proposed revisions to the IOM for these services and we acknowledge commenters additional suggestions to clarify the guidance. Currently, we believe that additions beyond our proposed changes to the IOM and revisions to terms beyond the scope of general coding guidance are not required. We continue to believe that the proposed increased detail in alignment with current CPT coding definitions will provide clear guidance and considerations when MACs are determining appropriate payment for these services.

Comment: Several commenters requested that CMS take additional steps to prevent future down coding of these services. Commenters stated that CMS should establish documentation requirements in the patient medical record to demonstrate that the reported complex drug administration code meets IOM guidance. Commenters also requested that CMS release a Medicare Learning Network (MLN) article to educate MACs and physicians on the finalized guidance. Commenters also urged CMS to prohibit audits and recoupments for these services until the effective date of the finalized IOM revisions.

Response: We thank commenters for their suggested additional steps to prevent future down coding of these services. Currently, we believe that the proposed increased detail and considerations of complexity to the IOM will sufficiently assist MACs with their determination of proper payment for these services. We are encouraged by the positive feedback from



commenters regarding the retired LCAs and the previous instructions issued to the MACs via TDL and CR. We will continue to monitor all feedback from external parties and will pursue additional steps to ensure proper payment for these services as necessary.

After consideration of all public comments, we are finalizing revisions to the IOM to update guidance on complex non-chemotherapeutic drug administration as proposed.

Caregiver Training Services

Hospitals require the availability of a dedicated caregiver for a patient to receive a stem cell transplant or other cellular or gene therapy. This is necessary because of the extended recovery period and complex health care needs the patient experiences during that time. In short, having a knowledgeable caregiver is critical to the success of the treatment. As such, ASTCT is very appreciative that CMS recognized the importance of Caregiver Training Services (CTS) by providing payment beginning in 2024.

CMS' assignment of value to CTS in the CY 2024 MPFS Final Rule (codes 97550-97553) allows physicians, non-physician practitioners, and therapists to bill for the provision of these services, which ASTCT appreciates. Yet, ASTCT notes that, once the treating clinician outlines a course of treatment for the patient and evaluates caregiver knowledge, it is likely that qualified and employed auxiliary team members are the ones who provide CTS services directly to the caregiver. CPT[®] codes 97550-97553 have an OPPS status indicator "A," indicating that MPFS payment to outpatient hospitals is applicable when therapists furnish CTS but is *not* applicable to nurses or other trained auxiliary personnel who follow clinician orders to conduct caregiver training under in a hospital outpatient setting.

Therefore, ASTCT was encouraged by CMS' proposal for new CTS codes GCTD1, GCTD2, and GCTD3 for facility and non-facility settings. ASTCT asks CMS to clarify whether these codes will also be restricted to physicians, non-physician practitioners, and therapists (like the 97550-97553 codes). We are encouraged because these code descriptors are particularly well-suited to the work that qualified and employed auxiliary team members provide to caregivers under treating clinician orders.

ASTCT asks CMS to make the newly proposed CTS HCPCS codes GCTD1-GCTD3 payable when services are furnished by auxiliary staff "incident to" a clinician's service in the non-facility setting and under orders in the facility setting.

CMS Response: (pp.321-322 and 1129-1130)

Comment: Commenters requested clarification that caregiver training services (described by CPT codes 97550, 97551, 97552, 96202, and 96203, as well as any caregiver training services HCPCS codes finalized in this year's rule, and any subsequently created caregiver



training service codes) may be provided by auxiliary personnel incident to the services of a billing practitioner.

Response: Payment for CTS may be made to physicians, nurse practitioners (NPs), clinical nurse specialists (CNSs), certified nurse-midwives (CNMs), physician assistants (PAs) and clinical psychologists (CPs) under the PFS when they bill for CTS personally performed by them or by other practitioners or auxiliary personnel as an incident to their professional services. (p. 321)

Comment: Commenters requested that we clarify whether practitioners who are limited by statute to performing services for the diagnosis and treatment of mental illness (such as clinical psychologists, clinical social workers, marriage and family therapists, or mental health counselors) can furnish caregiver training services.

Response: Clinical social workers, marriage and family therapists, and mental health counselors can bill Medicare directly for caregiver training services they personally perform for the diagnosis or treatment of mental illness, so long as all other billing requirements are met. However, clinical social workers, marriage and family therapists, and mental health counselors cannot directly bill Medicare for caregiver training services if they were provided by auxiliary personnel, as they are not authorized to supervise, bill, and be paid directly by Medicare for services that are provided by auxiliary personnel incident to their professional services. (p. 321-322)

Regarding the inclusion of direct caregiver services, in section II.E of this final rule, we clarify for commenters that Caregiver Training Services (CTS) will be covered and paid under the physician fee schedule (PFS) when furnished personally by physicians and nonphysician practitioners who are authorized under an "incident to" provision under their statutory benefit category. Additionally, CTS are covered and paid to physicians and certain nonphysician practitioners under the PFS when provided by auxiliary personnel (as defined in program regulations at § 410.26(a)(1)) when all the "incident to" requirements are met. Since these services are covered and paid under the physician fee schedule (PFS) when furnished personally by physicians and nonphysician practitioners who are authorized under an "incident to" provision under their statutory benefit category, and since CTS may be integral to a patient's overall treatment and furnished after the treatment plan is established, we continue to believe it is appropriate to include them in the definition of primary care services used for purposes of assignment. With regard to the comment opposed to the inclusion of these services in the definition of primary care services because they can be furnished in a variety of settings, although these services may be furnished in a variety of settings, we continue to believe it is appropriate to include them in the definition of primary care used for purposes of assignment when they are furnished by a physician or nonphysician practitioner who is an ACO professional given that both primary care providers and specialists provide care in a variety of settings. (p. 1129-1130)



Hospital Inpatient or Observation E/M Add-On for Infectious Diseases

CMS proposes a new HCPCS add-on code for intensity and complexity of inpatient or observation care associated with a confirmed/suspected infectious disease performed by a physician who has specialized training in infectious diseases. Patients undergoing stem cell transplant, gene therapy, and cellular therapies are frequently immunocompromised and immunosuppressed during their treatment, making infectious disease a significant (and potentially fatal) concern. The services that ASTCT members provide are administered with the assistance of a multidisciplinary team of specialists, including those with infectious disease expertise. **ASTCT appreciates CMS' proposal and asks the agency to finalize add-on payment for infectious disease specialists, as proposed, with add-on code GIDXX.**

CMS Response: (p.315): After consideration of public comments, we are finalizing the creation of HCPCS code G0545 as proposed with modifications to the HCPCS code descriptor. We reiterate that we would consider using any newly available CPT coding to describe infectious disease services in future rulemaking.

HCPCS	Descriptor	CY 2024 Work RVU	Proposed CY 2025 Work RVU	Final CY 2025 Work RVU	CMS Work Time Refinement
G0545	Visit complexity inherent to hospital inpatient or observation care associated with a confirmed or suspected infectious disease by an infectious diseases specialist, including disease transmission risk assessment and mitigation, public health investigation, analysis, and testing, and/or complex antimicrobial therapy counseling and treatment. (add-on code, list separately in addition to hospital inpatient or observation evaluation and management visit, initial, same day discharge, subsequent or discharge)	NEW	0.89	0.89	No

Compounded Immunosuppressive Drugs

In the proposed rule, CMS notes that certain patient groups may need to rely on compounded versions of immunosuppressive drugs, versus those that have been approved for marketing by the FDA. This conflicts with the requirements CMS established in relation to the immunosuppressive drug benefit. In the rule, CMS proposes the following:

Therefore, we are proposing revisions at § 410.30 to include orally and enterally administered compounded formulations with active ingredients derived only from FDA-approved drugs where approved labeling includes an indication for preventing or



treating the rejection of a transplanted organ or tissue, or for use in conjunction with immunosuppressive drugs to prevent or treat rejection of a transplanted organ or tissue, or have been determined by a MAC, in processing a Medicare claim, to be reasonable and necessary for this specific purpose as outlined in the immunosuppressive drug benefit. [61776]

ASTCT enthusiastically supports this revision and expansion but asks that CMS explicitly confirm that stem cell transplant patients are included in CMS' "transplanted organ or tissue" language.

CMS Response: (p.815 and 819)

Response: After consideration of public comments, we are finalizing as proposed to include orally and enterally administered compounded formulations with active ingredients derived only from FDA-approved drugs where approved labeling includes an indication for preventing or treating the rejection of a transplanted organ or tissue, or for use in conjunction with immunosuppressive drugs to prevent or treat rejection of a transplanted organ or tissue, or have been determined by a MAC, in processing a Medicare claim, to be reasonable and necessary for this specific purpose as outlined in the immunosuppressive drug benefit at § 410.30(a). (p. 815)

Our regulations at § 410.30(b) specify the immunosuppressive therapy is available to individuals who received an organ or tissue transplant for which Medicare payment is made, provided the individual is eligible to receive Medicare Part B benefits. Stem cells are taken from various tissues throughout the body, such as blood and bone marrow. Therefore, stem cells are included in the meaning of a "tissue," as it is used in § 410.30(b), and individuals who receive a stem cell transplant are eligible for the immunosuppressive therapy benefit, so long as they also otherwise meet the eligibility requirements. We also note that both DME MACs recognize recipients of stem cell transplants as eligible for the immunosuppressive therapy benefit. (p. 819)

G2211 Complexity Add-On Code

CMS proposes to allow HCPCS add-on code G2211 to be billed in conjunction with an E/M visit code for an annual wellness visit, vaccine administration, or any Medicare Part B preventive service furnished in the office or outpatient setting. We appreciate CMS' recognition that these visits are appropriate for billing the add-on code. **ASTCT supports this proposal and requests that CMS finalize this policy change as proposed.**

The ASTCT notes that CMS has publicly announced its intent to publish Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) and other guidance for this add-on code to clarify its use and supporting documentation. Treating oncologists would qualify to report this code when they serve as the focal point for treating cancer patients. ASTCT would, however, appreciate more clarity regarding appropriate reporting of this code for additional payment. **The ASTCT asks CMS to**



publish additional guidance regarding reporting G2211 no later than publication of the Final Rule.

CMS Response: (p.382)

Response: In response to interested party feedback requesting guidance about medical necessity and documentation requirements, we posted frequently asked questions at <u>https://www.cms.gov/files/document/hcpcs-g2211-faq.pdf</u>. As we stated in this document, we have not specified any additional medical record documentation requirements for reporting HCPCS code G2211. Our medical reviewers may use the medical record documentation to confirm the medical necessity of the visit and the patient care relationship as appropriate. We would expect that information included in the medical record or in the claims history for a patient/practitioner combination, such as diagnoses, the practitioner's assessment and medical plan of care, and/or other codes reported could serve as supporting documentation for billing HCPCS code G2211. Practitioners should consult their Medicare Administrative Contractor (MAC) regarding documentation requirements related to the underlying O/O E/M visit.

After consideration of public comments, we are finalizing as proposed to allow payment of the O/O E/M visit complexity add-on code (HCPCS code G2211) when the O/O E/M base code (CPT 99202-99205, 99211-99215) is reported by the same practitioner on the same day as an AWV, vaccine administration, or any Medicare Part B preventive service.

ASTCT thanks CMS for the opportunity to comment. Please contact Alycia Maloney, ASTCT's Director of Government Relations, at <u>amaloney@astct.org</u>, for any further questions or to discuss these issues.